



Meeting Agenda
Preservation Steering Committee, April 26, 2021 6:30 PM
Ken's Front Porch (922 Highland Ave)

Purpose: Discuss approach for a Highland Park Overlay

Called by: Ken Jones

Invited: Ken Jones, Ben Zumdahl, Jenelle Rotar, Susan Bradford, Joe Bumbleburg, Jim Rawlings, Erin Albregts, Jim Roach, Keith Alyea, Carol Robicheaux, MaDonna Compton, Liz Rausch

PSC Attendees: Ken Jones, Ben Zumdahl, Jenelle Rotar, Susan Bradford, Joe Bumbleburg, Jim Rawlings, Carol Robicheaux, Liz Rausch

Other Attendees: None

Meeting Notes

1. General Q&A discussion on any element of the last draft (March 15) of the overlay

A. One question from the web survey was raised – asking for clarification of the changes to the large and small (Shawnee) triangles to be classified as right-of-way / existing neighborhood open space.

Joe described how he met with APC staff to discuss the concerns with the proposed language around the triangle as a park. Together they came up with the solution to use the Unified Zoning Ordination (UZO) classification of right-of-way paired with recognition that both triangles are existing neighborhood open space. The UZO classification matches the underlying ownership of the triangles by the city and city's written understanding that they are parts of the public rights-of-way (i.e., streets and such). Adding the language "Existing Neighborhood Open Space" adds a bit of a twist to the standard UZO definition in making clear that both triangles are used as open space.

B. There were no other questions.

2. We generally discussed taking a vote on ending committee discussions on the current draft of the overlay and finalizing the draft to send it to the neighborhood at large for consideration.

A discussion ensued about next steps. HPNA is likely to help with logistics of communicating the overlay to neighbors but is not a supporting or opposing entity. Since this is an amendment to the zoning ordinance (not a rezone) every property owner in HP will have a voice before the APC and ultimately the city council in voicing support.

We know that we need to engage with every property owner and wondered how best to do that and if APC or the city would help with this effort.

We also discussed if this was the end of the committee and decided that the committee should stay in place but simply modify its work to focus on communicating the change, answering questions, and showing up to meetings of the APC / City Council.

3. Votes. Ken confirmed that a quorum of the committee was present.

Two votes were held:

1. Motion by Ken to stop discussion on the current draft of the overlay.

Second by Jenelle.

In favor: Bradford, Bumbleburg, Jones, Rausch Rawlings, Robicheaux, Rotar, Zumdahl

Opposed: None

Motion passed.

2. Motion by Ken to approve current draft of the overlay to be finalized by APC and then presented to the entire neighborhood for consideration.

Second by Joe

In favor: Bradford, Bumbleburg, Jones, Rausch Rawlings, Rotar, Zumdahl

Opposed: Robicheaux

Motion passed.

4. Action Items.

1. Communicate decision to APC and ask for finalized draft of overlay
2. Joe and Ken to meet with APC staff to discuss next steps.
3. Determine how / if we can leverage city and APC resource to engage with all HP property owners. (May 4)
4. Attend next HPNA meeting and present the results of this vote and the draft overlay
5. Develop FAQ document